







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,994	05/10/2001	Berthold N. Schmidt	721-1000	5821
75	590 07/02/2003			
Mark D. Saralino, Esq. Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar 1621 Euclid Avenue			EXAMINER	
			LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN	
19th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AP

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/852,994 SCHMIDT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Quyen P. Leung 2828 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2003. 1)🛛 2a)🛛 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,10 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7) \boxtimes Claim(s) $\underline{9}$ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/852,994

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's amendment filed 4/21/03, claims 1-7 and 9 have been amended; claim 8 canceled; and claim 14 added. Claims 1-7, 9-14 are pending with claims 11-13 being withdrawn from further consideration.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 10, 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu et al (6,373,875 B1). Yu et al discloses the claimed invention. Note for example figure 2a which shows a semiconductor body (100), an active region (102-106) with ridge waveguide with dimensionally unconstricted end sections, and a metallization layer (118) over the ridge and semiconductor body, and means (214a, 214b, 214c) for limiting injection of carriers, providing at least one unpumped end section.

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding the thickness and material of the means (214a, 214b, 214c), see col. 6 lines 15-30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al (6,373,875 B1). Yu et al discloses the claimed invention except for the active region being AlGaAs/InGaAs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the active region being AlGaAs/InGaAs, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior art do not teach or fairly suggest a laser diode, comprising, along with all the other claimed features, the isolation layer's lateral extension being wider than the laser's waveguide ridge, but smaller than the metallization's lateral

Application/Control Number: 09/852,994

Art Unit: 2828

extension and the active region with ridge waveguide with dimensionally unconstricted end sections (see applicant's remarks on page 4 the second paragraph, under the second section labeled "II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1, 3-5 AND 8 ..." for the definition of dimensionally unconstricted, as claimed).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quyen P. Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-0545. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Quyen P. Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

QPL June 30, 2003